

Possibility: A New Paradigm Of Explanation And Context

By Kevin A. Sensenig
Draft 1.01
2019 October 26

I thought of this. See what you think.

Possibility

Why not the psychiatrist explain in papers and to society that sometimes individuals run into the domains of life difficulty? The social can be complex, or not well factored out, or one-sided. The mental can be challenging, or a new and unexpected phenomenon occurs, not fully explained. The experiential can be difficult, and existential questions arising from that.

On the other hand, in certain situations these are at ease, and fluid, flexi, structured, or dynamic.

A new paradigm for psychiatry (and this would also be the stance of mvo-p).

Then there is no class 'mentally ill': where the person 'mentally ill' is set aside, and seen as unapproachable, inexplicable, impossible to fathom, irrational, to be isolated, and needing harsh top-down imposition (often inhibiting factors) to manage. Obviously, since no person in such a 'mentally ill' state can communicate, or speak a language, communication and dialogue is impossible – and because such person is a-priori decisively irrational, it is useless to bring reason or the experiential to the table in the first place; and this contradicts the top-down imposition strategy, also.

Right now the image is reified. But I would argue it is a false, inverted image, this disorders paradigm, even if at times difficulty is acknowledged – but I would argue out of context.

Therefore, stigma is set aside, and the matter penetrable, or at least can be described, in real-world terms. Grades of dilemma and no dilemma would be acknowledged across society, in each of the domains of life. Shadings. Meaning. Perception.

This would be an explanatory, humane, factored, insightful stance for psychiatrists to take.

Related Papers

“Mvo-P”

“All Of The Above”